Committee. I therefore request that the House will be pleased to sanction this allotment.

Motion No. 7.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon *(Chittur Rural).—To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1200 under "2. C. Veterinary—Medicines and Instruments." by Re. 1. (To enquire why there is a disparity in the pay of the Compounders of the Department with those of the Medical Department.*

Mr. Deputy President.—The cut motion is out of order.

Demand put and carried.

28. Rs. 612—under "20 (2) Collegiate Education —Establishment (votable) by transfer from "20 (2). Collegiate Education Establishment (non-votable)".

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon *(Director of Public Instruction).*—I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 612—under "Collegiate Education—Establishment-votable" by transfer from "Collegiate Education—Establishment—Non-votable".

The necessity for this transfer has been explained in the notes for the Standing Finance Committee. It does not require any explanation at my hands. I request that the House will be pleased to sanction this additional allotment by transfer.

Motion No. 8.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar *(Urakam).—To reduce the demand of Rs. 612 under "20 (2) Collegiate Education—Establishment" by Rs. 100— (There was no necessity to grant any more allowance to the Principal Mr. Mills than what has been stipulated for in the contract of service).*

Mr. Deputy President.—I want to know whether the cut motion has anything to do with the object of the grant asked for. I understand it relates to a non-votable item.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar *(Urakam).*—As I understand from what has been stated in the Notes for the Standing Finance Committee one of the main reasons for the insufficiency of of the amount this year was the granting of some allowance, either leave allowance or passage allowance, to Mr. Mills, the Principal of the College, which he was not strictly entitled to

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

under the contract of service entered into between him and the Government.

Mr. Deputy President.—I understand that this additional grant is not for that purpose.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar *(Urakam).*—It may not be for that purpose. I do not know it as a matter of fact. The Hon'ble Member for Education did not explain how the deficiency was caused. I understand that it was caused on account of the fact of giving some allowance over and above that to which he was legally entitled to which created a shortage in the leave allowance budgetted for and which is votable. So I say that Rs. 100 should not be granted.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Wadakkancheri).*—This House may be told how this 1187 rupees provided in the budget for the current year has been disposed of necessitating this additional grant.

Mr. Deputy President.—The only point is whether the cut motion is in order. If this amount is required for the purpose mentioned by the Hon'ble Member then it is a non-votable item and it is not in order. If the demand is for something else, which is votable it is in order.

Rama Varmha Tampuran *(Comptroller of Accounts).*—I think the cut motion is out of order because this is not for the Principal's allowance. This is for late Mr. Karuppan's leave allowance.

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon *(Director of Public Instruction).*—When late Mr. Karuppan applied for extension of leave and another attender also asked for extension of leave the leave allowance under votable was exhausted. The Principal pointed out that money was required in order to pay these two gentlemen. So it is not intended to pay Mr. Mills his allowance. This arose long before he went on leave. The cut motion is out of order. That is what the Hon'ble Member for Finance said.

Mr. Deputy President.—The question is whether the cut motion is in order. The grant has nothing to do with the allowance of the Principal. The amount is required for a votable item. But the cut motion has nothing to do with that object. It refers to a non-votable item for which this amount is not asked. This amount has nothing to do with the allowance of Mr. Mills. In every aspect, this cut motion is quite out of order.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar *(Urakam).*—I also agree perfectly so far as it goes. So it follows that the demand is for a votable item. My motion is to reduce Rs. 612 by a hundred rupees. The reason given by me, may be a good one or a bad one. So far as the propriety of the motion is concerned, it is quite in order because the motion is for a votable item and my motion is only to reduce the amount by Rs. 100 I may be allowed to move. If I adduce that reason as is given here in the cut motion I may not be allowed to state that. I may be asked to state whether I have other reasons to press my motion.

Mr. Deputy President.—I am sorry I cannot allow that because this cut motion relates only to a non-votable item. Otherwise every cut motion will be in order simply because it asks for a cut. I rule that the motion is out of order. If the Hon'ble Member has to speak against the demand, I have no objection.

Demand put and carried.

29. Rs. 292—under "14 (2) Secondary Girls—Scholarships and stipends (Cranganur).

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon *(Director of Public Instruction).*—I move for an additional allotment of Rs. 292 under 14 (2) Secondary Girls—Scholarships and stipends—Cranganur. The object has been explained in the notes of the Standing Finance Committee. I request that the House will be pleased to sanction the additional allotment.

Demand put and carried.

The House rose for the day at 4-55.

B. V. KRISHNANKUTTY MENON,

Secretary to the Council.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly